

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

13

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09:701,011	11 22:2000	Hiroshi Ando	001550	4791	
23850	7590 05/22/2003				
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			ZIMMER, MARC S		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

1712 DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09:701,011	ANDO ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	

1712

Office Action Summary

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

1.1arc S Zimmer

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION

	earned patent	term adjustment.	See 3/	CFR	1./04(b).
-					

	- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, re-to-reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any statute and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Sta	atus	
	1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2003.
	2a)⊡	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
Dis	3)□ spositi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ion of Claims
	·	Claim(s) 3-10 is/are pending in the application.
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
		Claim(s) 7-10 is/are allowed.
	· · ·	Claim(s) 3-6 is/are rejected.
		Claim(s) is/are objected to.
		Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Αp	•	ion Papers
	9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
		If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
	12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Pr	iority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
	13)[_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a)[☑ All b) Some * c) None of:
		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	* <u>\$</u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Att	achmen	t(s)
1) [2) [3) [Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1
	4 4 4 T	24

Art Unit: 1712

Specification

The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment to the Specification. The language of the Specification is now fully consistent with that of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa et al., U.S. Patent # 5,541,266 for the reasons provided in paper no. 11.

Claims 3, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Proebster et al., U.S. Patent # 6,025,416 for the reasons provided in paper no. 11.

Applicant traverses the above rejections on the basis that the compositions taught by the references are not of the "curing agent" type. However, it is the Examiner's contention that this phrase is merely a recitation of the planned use of the claimed (mfer) composition. Section 2112.02 provides direction as to how phrases such as this are to be treated: "If the body of a claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble merely states, for example, the purpose or

Application/Control Number: 09/701,011

Art Unit: 1712

intended use of the invention, rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, then the preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction. *Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 182 F.3d 1298, 1305, 51 USPQ2d 1161, 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1999). See also *Rowe v. Dror*, 112 F.3d 473, 478, 42 USPQ2d 1550, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("where a patentee defines a structurally complete invention in the claim body and uses the preamble only to state a purpose or intended use for the invention, the preamble is not a claim limitation"): *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d at 152, 88 USPQ2d at 480-81 (preamble is not a limitation where claim is directed to a product and the preamble merely recites a property inherent in an old product defined by the remainder of the claim). In view of these rulings, it is appropriate and necessary to uphold the disputed rejections.

Allowable Subject Matter

Gasmena still represents the most germane teaching with respect to claims 7-10 hence these claims remain allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 703-605-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

May 21, 2003

Robert as Dawson